Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives (50)

	ion and Mission statements					
Exhibits/C		05	A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements (2)			
Exhibits/			B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (3)			
LATIONS/C	Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
A. Vis	ion & Mission Statements & B. Con	rrectness				
1.2. Pro (PE	gram Educational Objectives Os)statements					
	Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
<b>A.</b> Ava	ailability & correctness of the PEO	s stateme	ents			
<b>1.3.</b> Dis	semination among Stakeholders	10	A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2)			
			B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2)			
T 1 1 1 . //			C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6)			
Exhibits/C	Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
Documenta C. Extent o Based on t	of Awareness interaction with internal and externa					
<b>1.4.</b> Fo	rmulation Process	15	<ul><li>A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5)</li><li>B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10)</li></ul>			
Docum	<b>Context to be Observed/Assessed:</b> entary evidence to indicate the pro- implementation	cess whic	ch ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective			
1.5. Cor	nsistency of PEOs with the	15	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5)			
Mis	sion		B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10)			
A. Availab	Context to be Observed/Assessed: ility of a matrix having PEOs and M ation for each of the elements mappe					
Total:		50				

Criterion 2: Governance, Leadership & Financial Resources (100)

Sub Criteria Ma		Evaluation Guidelines		
2.1. Governance and Leadership	60			
2.1.1. Governance Structure and Policies	30			
2.1.1.1. Governing Structure	10	List the governing, senate, and all other academic and administrative bodies; their memberships, functions, and responsibilities; frequency of the meetings; and attendance therein, details of monitoring of performance done by the BoG.		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:			
<ul> <li>Self-explanatory</li> </ul>				
2.1.1.2. Service Rules	10	The published service rules, policies and procedures with year of publication		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:			
<ul> <li>Self-explanatory</li> </ul>				
2.1.1.3. Policies 05		Well defined and implemented policies of governance with stakeholders participating in the development of these policies. Extent of awareness among the faculty and students		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:			
✤ Self-explanatory				
2.1.1.4. Strategic Plan	05	Availability and implementation		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:			
<ul> <li>Self-explanatory</li> </ul>				
2.1.2. Faculty Empowerment	15			
2.1.2.1. Faculty Development Policies	05	The institution should have a well-defined faculty development policy to ensure that faculty continues to meet high standards		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:			
✤ Polices and its effective implementation	n			

2.1.2.2. Decentralization, delegation of power and Collective decision making <i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse</i>	10 2 <b>d</b> :	<ul> <li>A. List the names of the faculty members who have been delegated powers for taking administrative decisions. Mention details in respect of decentralization in working (1)</li> <li>B. Financial and administrative powers delegated to the Principal, Heads of Departments and relevant in-charges (1)</li> <li>C. Demonstrate the utilization of financial and administrative powers for each of the assessment years (5)</li> <li>D. Procedure for decision making on issues such as strategic development and resourcing with respect to educational provision and management of educational resources (3)</li> </ul>
A., C. & D. Documentary evidence		
B. Circulars notifying financial powers 2.1.3. Effective governance Indicators		
2.1.3.1.Grievance redressal mechanism	02	<ul><li>A. Specify the mechanism and composition of grievance redressal cell (1)</li><li>B. Action taken report as per 'A' above (1)</li></ul>
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse</i> A. & B. Documentary evidence	ed:	
2.1.3.2. Transparency	05	<ul><li>A. Information on the policies, rules, processes is to be made available on web site (2)</li><li>B. Dissemination of the information about student, faculty and staff (3)</li></ul>
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse</i> A. & B. Website and Documentary evide		
2.1.3.3. Leader and Faculty selection process	05	<ul> <li>A. Effective implementation (3)</li> <li>B. A well-defined and followed selection process should be there for leader and facultyselection process. Institute should provide sufficient proofs of such process being in existence (2)</li> </ul>
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
2.1.3.4. Stability of the academic leaders	03	Retention of HoD and Dean
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
✤ HoD and Dean date of joining; at least the second se	ist three-mon	th (July-April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment year
2.2. Financial Resources	40	
2.2.1. Budget Allocation, Utilization, and Public Accounting at Institute level	40	

2.2.1.1. Adequacy of Budget allocation	15	<ul><li>A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (7)</li><li>B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (8)</li></ul>							
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:									
A. Budget formulation, finalization and	A. Budget formulation, finalization and approval process								
<i>B. Requirement</i> – <i>allocation</i> – <i>adequacy</i>	– justificatio	on thereof							
2.2.1.2. Utilization of allocated funds	15	Budget utilization for three years							
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:								
A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; ra	ndom verific	cation for at least two of the three assessment years							
2.2.1.3. Availability of the audited	10	Availability of Audited statements on website							
statements on the institute's									
website									
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:									
A. Website	A. Website								
Total:	100								

## Criterion 3: Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines				
3.1. Establish the correlation between the courses	20					
and the Program Outcomes (POs) & Program						
Specific Outcomes						
3.1.1. Course Outcomes (COs)	05	List of Course Outcomes at least one course for each semester				
3.1.2.CO-PO/PSOs matrices of courses selected in 3.1.1 (four matrices)	05	Explanation of tables to be ascertained				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:						
A. Mapping to be verified for at least two matrices						
3.1.3. Course-PO matrix of all courses in the program	10	Explanation of table to be ascertained				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
A. Mapping to be verified for all course per year of study; program outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped with the core courses are also						

to be verified

3.2. Course Outcomes	40	
3.2.1. Describe the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based	10	<ul> <li>A. List of assessment processes (2)</li> <li>B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes &amp; tools used (8)</li> </ul>
<b>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</b> A.& B. Evidence for appropriate assessment processes	including	data collection, verification, analysis, decision making
3.2.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	30	Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses
<b>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</b> A. Methodology to define set levels and its compliance; study to be verified	data coll	ection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course per year of
3.3. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	40	
3.3.1. Describe assessment tools and processes used to gather the data to evaluate Program Outcomes and	10	<ul><li>A. List of assessment tools &amp; processes (3)</li><li>B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (7)</li></ul>
Program Specific Outcomes		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		e compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection- nt
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & processe.		1 01 0
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:         A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & processe.         analysis; decision making based on direct and indirect         3.3.2. PO and PSO attainment levels         Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:	t assessme 30	<i>nt</i> A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO and PSO (10)

**Criterion 4: Curriculum and Learning Process (125)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
4.1. Curriculum	25	
4.1.1. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes (10)	10	<ul><li>A. State the process used to identify extent of compliance of the University curriculum for attaining the Program Outcomes (6)</li><li>B. List the curricular gaps for the attainment of defined POs &amp; PSOs (4)</li></ul>
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>A. Documentary evidence to indicate the process whi participation of internal and external department s</li> <li>B. Identified Curricular gaps and its Appropriateness</li> </ul>	stakeholde	
4.1.2. Appropriateness of the gaps identified and actions taken to bridge the gap (15)	15	<ul> <li>A. Steps taken to get identified gaps included in the curriculum (letter to University/ BoS) (2)</li> <li>B. Action taken details (10)</li> <li>C. Mapping of events with the POs &amp; PSOs (3)</li> </ul>
Note: In case program is able to demonstrate the	e between	contents delivered and Program outcomes/Program specific outcomes (Course outcomes) ce of university curriculum in attaining the program outcomes, then the total 25 marks willbe
for point (4.1.1) above         4.2. Learning Processes	100	
4.2.1. Describe the Process followed to improve quality of Teaching Learning	40	<ul> <li>A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (5)</li> <li>B. Improving instructional methods and using pedagogical initiatives (10)</li> <li>C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (10)</li> <li>D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (10)</li> <li>E. Student feedback of teaching learning process and actions taken (5)</li> </ul>
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A. Availability of Academic Calendar based on Univ B. Documentary evidence to support implementation		

LMS, multimedia, interactive class rooms, open resources, etc.

C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed

D.	Class room ambience;	efforts to keep	students engaged	(also to be ver	ified durin	g interaction	with the students
----	----------------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------	-------------------

125

Е.	Feedback format,	frequency, and	alysis and ac	ctions taken (	also to be veri	fied during	g interaction with students)
----	------------------	----------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------	------------------------------

4.2.2. Quality of continuous assessment and evaluation	40	A. Process for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and effective process
processes		implementation (10)
-		B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (10)
		C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (10)
		D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (10)

#### Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Process of internal semester question paper setting, model answers, evaluation and its compliance
- B. Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective
- C. Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes
- D. Assignments to promote self-learning, survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs

20	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (2)
	B. Types and relevance of the reports and their contribution towards attainment of POs (5)
	C. Process for monitoring and evaluation (5)
	D. Process to assess individual and team performance (5)
	E. Quality of dissertation (3)
	20

#### Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- A. Projects identification and guide allocation Process
- B. Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes
- C. Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation
- D. Methodology (Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding Based on projects

Fotal:		

Criterion 5: Students' Quality and Performance (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
5.1. Enrolment Ratio (20)	20	<ul> <li>A. &gt;= 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous threeyears starting from current academic year (20)</li> <li>B. &gt;= 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous threeyears starting from current academic year (16)</li> <li>C. &gt;= 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous threeyears starting from current academic year (12)</li> <li>D. &gt;= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous threeyears starting from current academic year (8)</li> <li>E. Otherwise '0'.</li> </ul>
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse		
<ul> <li>Data to be verified for each of the ass</li> </ul>	essment ye	ars
5.2. Success Rate (Students clearing in minimum time)	10	S.I. = Number of students completing program in minimum duration/ Number of studentsadmitted Average SI = Mean of Success Index (SI) for past three batches Success rate = 10 * Average SI
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	<i>d</i> :	
<ul> <li>Data to be verified for each of the ass</li> </ul>	essment ye	pars and the second s
5.3. Final Year Academic Performance (Percentagemarks scored)	10	Academic Performance = Average API (Academic Performance Index) API = ((Mean of final Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in final year/10)) * (number of successful students/number of students appeared in the examination) Successful students are those who have passed in all final year courses.
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	d:	
<ul> <li>Data to be verified for at least one of</li> </ul>		nent years
5.4. Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	40	

5.4.1 Placement	30	Assessment Points = $30 *$ Average of three years of $[X+Y+(1.2*Z)]/N$ , Where,
		N is the total no. of students admitted in first year,
		X is No. of students placed in companies or Government Sector,
		Y isNo. of students pursuing Ph.D. / Higher Studies,
		Z is No. of students turned entrepreneur (In the areas related to management discipline)
5.4.2. Quality of Placement	10	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	!:	
✤ Data to be verified for at least one asso	essment y	pear
5.5. Student Diversity	05	Diversity may include Experience, Gender diversity, Qualification, Geographic diversity (within state, outside state, outside country)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	!:	
<ul> <li>Students enrolled</li> </ul>		
5.6. Professional Activities	15	
5.6.1. Student's participation in	10	A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (2)
professional societies / chapters		B. Number, quality of Management events (organized at institute) (8)
and organizing management		Level :
events		i. Institute (_)
		ii. State ()
		iii. National (_)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	1.	iv. International ( )
	•	
Self - Explanatory		
5.6.2. Student's Publications	05	List the publications along with the names of the authors and publishers, etc. (05)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	!:	
✤ Documentary evidence - Students parts	icipation	(also to be confirmed during interaction with the students)
Total:	100	

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
6.1. Student-Faculty Ratio	10	Marks to be given from a maximum of 10 to a minimum of 5 for average SFR between 15:1 to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1 (Refer calculation in SAR) as given below: <=15 - 10 Marks <=17 - 09 Marks <=19 - 08 Marks <=21 - 07 Marks <=23 - 06 Marks <=25 - 05 Marks > 25.0 - 0 Mark

#### Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- The SFR is to be calculated based on the faculty of the Department.
- No. of Regular faculty calculation considering Regular faculty definition\*; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 6.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

\*All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

- *i.* Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- ii. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- iii. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit



(Faculty Qualification and experience required for cadre posts shall only be considered as per AICTE norms/guidelines)				
Cadre wise No. of faculty available; Facu	lty qualif	ication and experience and eligibility; Appointment/Promotion orders		
Cadre wise no. of faculty required as per	AICTE g	uidelines (refer calculation in SAR)		
6.3. Faculty Qualification	20 $FQ = 2.0 * [{10X + 4Y}/F]$ where			
		X is no. of faculty with Ph.D.,		
		Y is no. of faculty with M.B.A.,		
		F is no. of faculty required tocomply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty required to be		
		calculated as per 6.1)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
<ul> <li>Documentary evidence – Faculty Qualific</li> </ul>	ration			
	• •	A. $\geq$ 90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as		
6.4. Faculty Retention	20	base year (20)		
		<ul> <li>B. ≥75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (15)</li> </ul>		
		C. $\geq 60\%$ of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as		
		base year (10)		
		D. $\geq$ 50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as		
		base year (08)		
		E. Otherwise (0)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
<ul> <li>Faculty date of joining; at least three-mon</li> </ul>	th (July-A	April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment years		
6.5. Faculty Initiatives on Teaching and	15	A. The work must be made available on Institute Website (4)		
Learning		B. The work must be available for peer review and critique (4)		
_		C. The work must be reproducible and developed further by other scholars (4)		
		D. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective		
		presentation and reflective critique (3)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
A. Availability on Institute website; awarene	ss among	faculty and students of the department		
B. & C. Self -explanatory				
	nent of st	udent learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods,		
assessment, evaluation etc.				
6.6. Management Development Programme	05			
-				

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:	context (	to be Observed/Assessed - SAR PG- Management- Affiliated Institute Programs	
<ul> <li>No.of programs organized and duration of</li> </ul>	of each pr	ograms and no.of candidates participated	
6.7. Faculty Performance, appraisal and development system	15	<ul> <li>A. A well -defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the assessment years (5)</li> <li>B. Its implementation and effectiveness (10)</li> </ul>	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:	1		
<ul><li>A. Notified performance appraisal and dev</li><li>B. Implementation, Transparency and Effect</li></ul>		system; Appraisal Parameters; Awareness	
6.8. Visiting/Adjunct faculty	10	<ul> <li>A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc. (2)</li> <li>B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (per year to obtain four marks: 4 * 2 = 8)</li> </ul>	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
<ul> <li>Documentary evidence</li> </ul>			
6.9. Academic Research	70	<ul> <li>A. Faculty Paper Publication         <ul> <li>(List of Publications in referred journals, reputed conferences, books, book chapters, castudies in public domain etc.) (50)</li> <li>B. List of Ph.D. /Fellowship titles (FPM) awarded during the assessment period while working the institute (20)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
<ul><li><i>A.</i> Quality of publications; publications cop</li><li><i>B.</i> Documentary evidence</li></ul>	y; Marks	to be awarded with due regards to citations, H Index and other Quality parameters	
6.10. Sponsored Research	20	Funded research from outside; considering faculty members contributing to the programFunding Amount (Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3):Amount >= 30 Lacs - 20 MarksAmount >= 20 Lacs and < 30 lacs - 15 Marks	

## Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

\* Documentary evidence; Funding agency, Amount, Duration, Research progress; Outcome

6.11. Consultancy/Testing/Training	25	(Provide a list with Project Title, consulting, Funding Agency, Amount and Duration) Funding amount (Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3): Amount >= 25 Lacs 25 Marks, Amount >= 20 and < 25 Lacs 20 Marks, Amount >= 15 and < 20 Lacs 15 Marks, Amount >= 10 and < 15 Lacs 10 Marks, Amount >= 5 and < 10 Lacs 5 Marks, Amount >= 3 and < 5 lacs - 02 Marks Amount < 3 Lacs -0 Mark
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Documentary evidence; Funding <i>agency</i>,</li> </ul>	Amount	, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
6.12. Faculty as consultant of the industries	10	Qualitative assessment on the basis of type of consultancy, number of faculty involved, type of industries and completion of consultancy assignments
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
✤ Justification for marks awarded to be give	en	
6.13. Preparation of teaching Cases	10	The development and use of cases in teaching and thus promoting learners critical thinking skills
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		1
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to be give</li> </ul>	en	
$\bullet$ Justification for marks awaraea to be give		

# Criterion 7: Industry & International Connect (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
7.1. Industry Connect	60	
7.1.1. Initiatives related to industry interaction including industry internship/summer training/study tours/ guest lectures	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to be given</li> </ul>		
7.1.2. Participation of Industry professionals in curriculum development, projects, assignmentsas examiners, in summer projects	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to be given</li> </ul>		
7.1.3. Initiatives related to industry including executive education, industry sponsored labs, and industry sponsorship of student activities	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to be given</li> </ul>		
7.1.4. Involvement of industry professional as members of various academic bodies/board	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to be given</li> </ul>		
7.2. International Connect	40	International Students, Student Immersion Programs, Faculty Exchange Programs and Collaborative Research Projects. These would also include online initiatives to engage with international academic communities
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
✤ Self-explanatory		
Total:	100	

**Criterion 8: Infrastructure (75)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
8.1. Classrooms & Learning facilities	25	A. Adequate well-equipped classrooms to meet the curriculum (10)
		B. Availability of E-learning facilities, utilization; initiatives to ensure students learning (15)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Adequacy; well-equipped classrooms; i</li> </ul>	utilization	n
8.2. Library	20	A. Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library (15)
<u></u>		B. Accessibility to students (5)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Self-explanatory</li> </ul>		
8.3. IT Infrastructure and Learning	30	A. Availability of composite hardware, software, network resources and services required for the
Management System		existence, operation and management of an institution's IT environment (15)
		B. Availability of LMS related core functionalities like sharing of learning resources, Assessment
		and external learning linkages, 24/7 learner support, Discussion Forums and learner
		engagement (15)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
<ul> <li>Self -explanatory</li> </ul>		
Total:	75	
1		

**Criterion 9: Alumni Performance and Connect (50)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines		
9.1. Alumni association	10	A. Duly formed (5)		
		B. Registered (5)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	<i>l</i> :			
<ul> <li>Verify documents</li> </ul>				
9.2. Involvement of alumni	25	Alumni meet, visit to institution and interaction with students, involvement in curriculum development,		
		project guidance, assistance in entrepreneurship, mentoring of students, assistance in placement, resources raised, etc.		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	l:			
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to</li> </ul>	be given			
9.3.Methodology to connect with	15	Alumni portal, database, alumni meet, frequency of meets, alumni chapters, newsletter		
Alumni and its implementation				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
<ul> <li>Justification for marks awarded to</li> </ul>	be given			
Total:	50			

**Criterion 10: Continuous Improvement (50)** 

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines			
10.1. Actions taken based on theresults of evaluation of each of the POs and PSO	20	Action taken details for each of the POs and PSOs			
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i>					
10.2. Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement			
	<ul> <li>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</li> <li>Academic Audit assessment criteria, frequency, conduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness</li> </ul>				
10.3. Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship	10	<ul> <li>Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 5.4)</li> <li>A. Improvement in Placements (5)</li> <li>B. Improvement in Higher Studies (3)</li> <li>C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (2)</li> <li>(Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAY<i>m</i>2)</li> </ul>			
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assessment; improvement considering CAYm2 as a base year					
10.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10				
	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
Total:	50				